

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD DENNIS, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL SERVICES FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT ASIAN EVENT DRIVEN FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL HORIZONS FUND, L.P., AND ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Docket No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AUSTRALIA BRANCH, BNP PARIBAS, S.A., BNP PARIBAS, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS N.V., RBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED, UBS AG, UBS AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND BANKING GROUP LTD., COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA, NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK AUSTRALIA LIMITED, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, LLOYDS TSB BANK PLC, AUSTRALIA, MACQUARIE GROUP LTD., MACQUARIE BANK LTD., ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, RBC CAPITAL MARKETS LLC, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY AUSTRALIA LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, CREDIT SUISSE AG, ICAP PLC, ICAP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, TULLETT PREBON (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD., AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-50.

Defendants.

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT
AS TO DEFENDANTS
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. AND
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

WHEREAS, in the action *Dennis et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co. et al.*, No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Action”), the Court granted conditional certification of the Settlement Class in connection with the proposed settlement agreement dated November 20, 2018 between the Representative Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (together, “JPMorgan”), on the other hand (the “Settlement Agreement”), on November 28, 2018 (ECF No. 229);¹

WHEREAS, the Court issued a superseding order granting conditional certification of the Settlement Class as amended by the amendment to the Settlement Agreement (the “Amendment”), dated March 1, 2021, between Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan, on March 30, 2021 (ECF No. 460);

WHEREAS, Section 24 of the Settlement Agreement sets forth the Parties’ agreement as to the impact of any other settlement between Representative Plaintiffs and any other Defendant in the Action reached prior to the Fairness Hearing, specifically that “[i]f any Other Settlement . . . is reached prior to the Fairness Hearing . . . the terms contained within the . . . ‘Release and Covenant Not to Sue,’ . . . provision[] . . . shall be no less favorable to JPMorgan than the corresponding term or provision applicable to any Other Settlement”;

WHEREAS, Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement sets forth the Parties’ agreement as to the Release and Covenant Not To Sue, which incorporates the term “Releasing Parties” as defined in Section 1(II) of the Settlement Agreement;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 24 of the Settlement Agreement, the Amendment amended certain provisions and defined terms in the Settlement Agreement, including Section 12

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the meaning ascribed to them in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement as to Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.,

regarding the Parties' agreement as to the Release and Covenant Not To Sue, and related definitions following Representative Plaintiffs' settlement with Westpac Banking Corporation;

WHEREAS, since execution of the Amendment, Representative Plaintiffs have subsequently entered into proposed settlements with Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd. ("ANZ"), Commonwealth Bank of Australia ("CBA"), National Australia Bank Limited ("NAB"), and Morgan Stanley, which Representative Plaintiffs filed in the Action on December 10, 2021;

WHEREAS, JPMorgan has asserted that the Release and Covenant Not To Sue provision, including specifically the definition of "Releasing Parties" as that term is contained within the settlement agreements of ANZ, CBA, and NAB, is more favorable than that in the Settlement Agreement and Representative Plaintiffs have not objected;

WHEREAS, accordingly, the Parties agree that the definition of "Releasing Parties" as a term contained within the Release and Covenant Not to Sue provision in the Settlement Agreement should be amended in accordance with Section 24 of the Settlement Agreement to be coterminous with those of the ANZ, CBA, and NAB settlements;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained in this Second Amendment, the Amendment, and in the Settlement Agreement, it is hereby agreed, by Representative Plaintiffs, by and through Plaintiffs' Counsel, and by JPMorgan, by and through their undersigned counsel of record in the Action, that the Settlement Agreement and the Amendment is amended as follows:

dated November 20, 2018 (ECF No. 225-1) and the Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement as to Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., dated March 1, 2021 (ECF No. 452-2).

1. The definition of “Releasing Parties” in Section 1(II) of the Settlement Agreement, as amended in Paragraph 6 of the Amendment, is hereby amended as follows:

“Releasing Parties” means each and every Representative Plaintiff and each and every Settling Class Member on their own behalf and on behalf of their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, related entities, associates and affiliates, and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, advisors, representatives, employees, agents, principals, managers, members, trustees, participants, representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, consultants, attorneys, accountants, auditors, insurers or legal representatives in their capacity as such, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of each of the foregoing in their capacity as such, whether or not they object to the Settlement or make a claim for payment from the Settlement Fund. Notwithstanding that the U.S. Government is excluded from the Settlement Class, with respect to any Settling Class Member that is a government entity, Releasing Parties include any and every Settling Class Member as to which the government entity has the legal right to release such claims. As used in this provision, “affiliates” means entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Releasing Party. For the avoidance of doubt, the “Releasing Parties” include all Persons (including both natural persons and entities) entitled to bring or release claims on behalf of Settling Class Members relating to the Released Claims, including, but not limited to, the Settling Class Members’ transactions, interests or positions in, or ownership of, BBSW-Based Derivatives, BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans, or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to BBSW held by Representative Plaintiffs or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.).

2. To reflect the amended provisions of the Settlement Agreement set forth in this Second Amendment, the Parties hereby replace Exhibits B and C appended to the Settlement Agreement and the Amendment (respectively, the [Proposed] Final Approval Order and [Proposed] Final Judgment) with Exhibits B and C appended hereto.


3. As soon as practicable after execution of this Amendment, Plaintiffs’ Counsel shall submit this Amendment to the Court and shall file a motion for entry of the Superseding

Conditional Certification order, which order shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4. This Amendment and any claims relating to or arising from it shall be governed by, construed by, and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of New York without reference to the principles of conflicts of laws. For the avoidance of doubt, unless explicitly set forth above, nothing in this Amendment is intended to modify or amend any other provision of the Settlement Agreement, the terms of which shall remain in full force and effect.

[remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Dated: January 13, 2022

By: 
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com

By: _____
Christopher Lovell
LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN
JACOBSON LLP
500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2440
New York, New York 10110
Telephone: (212) 608-1900
clovell@lshllp.com

Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: January 13, 2022

By: _____
Alan C. Turner
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 455-2000
aturner@stblaw.com

Counsel for Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Dated: January 13, 2022

By: _____
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com

By: Christopher Lovell
Christopher Lovell
LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN
JACOBSON LLP
500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2440
New York, New York 10110
Telephone: (212) 608-1900
clovell@lshllp.com

Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: January 13, 2022

By: _____
Alan C. Turner
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 455-2000
aturner@stblaw.com

Counsel for Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.


Dated: January 13, 2022

By: _____
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com

By: _____
Christopher Lovell
LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN
JACOBSON LLP
500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2440
New York, New York 10110
Telephone: (212) 608-1900
clovell@lshllp.com

Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: January 13, 2022

By:  _____
Alan C. Turner
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 455-2000
aturner@stblaw.com

Counsel for Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD DENNIS, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL SERVICES FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT ASIAN EVENT DRIVEN FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL HORIZONS FUND, L.P., AND ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Docket No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AUSTRALIA BRANCH, BNP PARIBAS, S.A., BNP PARIBAS, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS N.V., RBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED, UBS AG, UBS AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND BANKING GROUP LTD., COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA, NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK AUSTRALIA LIMITED, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, LLOYDS TSB BANK PLC, AUSTRALIA, MACQUARIE GROUP LTD., MACQUARIE BANK LTD., ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, RBC CAPITAL MARKETS LLC, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY AUSTRALIA LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, CREDIT SUISSE AG, ICAP PLC, ICAP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, TULLETT PREBON (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD., AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-50.

Defendants.

EXHIBIT A

**[PROPOSED] SUPERSEDING
ORDER GRANTING
CONDITIONAL CLASS
CERTIFICATION FOR
PURPOSES OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT WITH
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. AND
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

The parties to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement as to Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan”) dated November 20, 2018 (“Settlement Agreement”) entered into by Representative Plaintiffs¹ and JPMorgan in the above-entitled action (“Action”), having previously applied for an order conditionally certifying a Settlement Class; the Court having previously issued an order on November 28, 2018 conditionally certifying a Settlement Class [ECF No. 229]; Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan (together, the “Parties”) having executed an Amendment to the Settlement Agreement (the “Amendment”) and having previously applied for a superseding order conditionally certifying a Settlement Class based on the Amendment; the Court having previously issued a superseding order on March 30, 2021 conditionally certifying a Settlement Class [ECF 460] (the “March 2021 Order”); the Parties having executed a Second Amendment to the Settlement Agreement, dated January 13, 2022 (the “Second Amendment”); Plaintiffs having filed a Motion for an Order Amending the March 2021 Order and for an Order Issuing Class Notice of Proposed Settlement; the Court having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and attached exhibits, the Amendment and attached exhibits, and the Second Amendment and attached exhibits, which set forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of and for dismissal of the Action with prejudice as against JPMorgan upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having reviewed and considered the Motion for an Order Amending the March 2021 Order, finds that the Motion is due to be granted.

All defined terms used in this Order shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Amendment, or Second Amendment, except as otherwise defined herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

¹ Representative Plaintiffs are Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”).

1. This Order supersedes the Court’s March 2021 Order [ECF 460].

2. For purposes of settlement only, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3), the Court hereby certifies a Settlement Class consisting of all Persons (including both natural persons and entities) who purchased, acquired, sold, held, traded, or otherwise had any interest in, BBSW-Based Derivatives² during the period January 1, 2003 through August 16, 2016, inclusive, provided that, if Representative Plaintiffs expand the putative or certified class in this Action in or through any subsequent amended complaint, class motion, or Other Settlement, the defined Settlement Class in this Order and the Settlement Agreement shall be expanded so as to be coterminous with such expansion. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government. Notwithstanding the sentence above that “[e]xcluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government,” and solely for purposes of this Settlement and this Settlement Class, Investment Vehicles³ are not to be excluded from the Settlement Class solely on the basis of being

² “BBSW-Based Derivatives” means any financial derivative instrument that is based or priced in whole or in part in any way on BBSW or in any way includes BBSW as a component of price (whether priced, benchmarked and/or settled by BBSW), entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a person from or through a location within the U.S., including, but not limited to: (i) Australian dollar foreign exchange (“FX”) derivatives, including Australian dollar FX forwards (also known as “outright forwards” or “outrights”), Australian dollar FX swaps (also known as “currency swaps”), Australian dollar currency options, Australian dollar futures contracts (such as the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”) Australian dollar futures contract) and options on such futures contracts; (ii) BBSW-based interest rate derivatives, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, forward rate agreements (“FRAs”), exchange-traded deliverable swap futures and options on those futures, 90-day bank accepted bill (“BAB”) futures and options on those futures, and other over-the-counter (“OTC”) contracts or publicly traded vehicles that reference BBSW; (iii) Australian dollar cross-currency swaps; and (iv) any other financial derivative instrument or transaction based in whole or in part on BBSW, or that in any way incorporates BBSW as a component of price, or is alleged by Representative Plaintiffs in this Action to be based in whole or in part on BBSW, or to in any way incorporate BBSW as a component of price. For the avoidance of doubt, BBSW-Based Derivatives do not include: (i) any BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans; or (ii) any Prime Bank Bills or Prime Bank eligible securities.

³ “Investment Vehicles” means any investment company, separately managed account or pooled investment fund, including, but not limited to: (i) mutual fund families, exchange-traded funds, fund of funds and hedge funds; and (ii) employee benefit plans.

deemed to be Defendants or affiliates, subsidiaries, parents or agents of Defendants or controlled by Defendants or affiliates, subsidiaries, parents or agents of Defendants. However, to the extent that any Defendant or any entity that might be deemed to be an affiliate, subsidiary, parent or agent thereof (i) managed or advised, and (ii) directly or indirectly held a beneficial interest in, said Investment Vehicle during the Settlement Class Period, that beneficial interest in the Investment Vehicle is excluded from the Settlement Class.

3. Solely for the purposes of effectuating the Settlement, the Court conditionally finds and concludes that the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) have been satisfied as follows:

- (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable;
- (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions;
- (c) the claims of the Representative Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class;
- (d) Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all of the members of the Settlement Class; and
- (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

4. The Court appoints Lowey Dannenberg, P.C. and Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson LLP as Class Counsel to such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are fully satisfied by this appointment.

5. The Court appoints Citibank, N.A. as Escrow Agent for purposes of the Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund as qualified settlement funds pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

6. The Court appoints Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., and Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”), will serve as representatives of such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement.

7. All proceedings in the Action as to JPMorgan, other than proceedings as may be necessary to implement the proposed Settlement or to effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

8. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or Settlement, whether or not the Settlement shall become Final, is or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law or of the validity of any claims, alleged wrongdoing, or liability of JPMorgan or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Action; (c) the incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; (e) any fault or omission of JPMorgan in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal; or (f) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement (including its exhibits), nor the Settlement contained therein,

whether or not the Settlement shall become Final, nor any negotiations, documents and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, whether by the Settlement Class or any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action in which the Settlement Agreement is asserted as a defense (in which case this paragraph does not apply). All rights of JPMorgan and Representative Plaintiffs are reserved and retained if the Settlement does not become Final in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

9. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the Settlement is or may be used as an admission or evidence that the claims of Representative Plaintiffs lacked merit in any proceeding against anyone in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal.

10. In the event that the Settlement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, such terminated Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith, including but not limited to all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, and any requests for exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid and timely, shall, without the need for further action by the Court or either of the Parties, be null and void and be of no force and effect, except as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and shall be without prejudice to the *status quo ante* rights of the Parties and may not be introduced as evidence or referred to in any actions or proceedings by any person or entity. Each Party shall be restored to his, her or its respective position as it existed as of June 5, 2018. In such circumstances, each of the Parties shall retain its currently existing rights to seek or to object to the certification of this litigation as a class

action under FED. R. CIV. P. 23, or any state or federal rule, statute, law, or provision, and to contest and appeal any grant or denial of certification in this litigation or in any other litigation on any other grounds.

11. Except as otherwise provided herein, in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated, vacated, not approved, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason, then the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action as of June 5, 2018 and the Settlement Amount, and all interest earned in the Settlement Fund on that Settlement Amount, shall be refunded, reimbursed, and repaid to JPMorgan to the extent provided in the Settlement Agreement.

12. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodial legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, returned to the Person(s) paying the same pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and/or further order(s) of the Court.

13. If the Settlement is terminated pursuant to Section 21 of the Settlement Agreement or if the Settlement is ultimately not approved or does not become final for any reason, the Court will modify any existing scheduling order to ensure that the Parties will have sufficient time to prepare for the resumption of litigation.

14. Counsel for JPMorgan shall, at or before the hearing set to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the Settlement and final approval of the Settlement, file with the Court proof of compliance with the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (“CAFA”).

15. The Court’s conditional certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of

Representative Plaintiffs as class representatives, as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by Representative Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court's findings in this Conditional Certification Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in this litigation, or appoint class representatives, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any motion to certify such class or appoint class representatives.

16. If any deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, such deadline shall be extended until the next business day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

ENTERED this _____ day of _____, _____.

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD DENNIS, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL SERVICES FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT ASIAN EVENT DRIVEN FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL HORIZONS FUND, L.P., AND ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Docket No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AUSTRALIA BRANCH, BNP PARIBAS, S.A., BNP PARIBAS, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS N.V., RBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED, UBS AG, UBS AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND BANKING GROUP LTD., COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA, NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK AUSTRALIA LIMITED, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, LLOYDS TSB BANK PLC, AUSTRALIA, MACQUARIE GROUP LTD., MACQUARIE BANK LTD., ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, RBC CAPITAL MARKETS LLC, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY AUSTRALIA LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, CREDIT SUISSE AG, ICAP PLC, ICAP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, TULLETT PREBON (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD., AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-50.

Defendants.

EXHIBIT B

**[PROPOSED]
FINAL APPROVAL ORDER
OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT WITH
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. AND
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

This matter came for a duly-noticed hearing on _____ 20__ (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Representative Plaintiffs’¹ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement with JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan”) in the action captioned *Richard Dennis, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al.*, No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Action”), which was consented to by JPMorgan (together with Representative Plaintiffs, the “Parties”). Due and adequate notice of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated November 19, 2018, Amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated March 1, 2021, and the Second Amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated January 13, 2022 (collectively, “Settlement Agreement”), having been given to the members of the Settlement Class, the Fairness Hearing having been held and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had in the Action, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Final Approval Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
2. For purposes only of the settlement of the Released Claims² set forth in the Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement”), the Court hereby finally certifies the Settlement Class:

¹ Representative Plaintiffs are Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., and Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”).

² “Released Claims” means any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, set-offs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative, or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys’ fees, and damages, whenever incurred, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or

All Persons (including both natural persons and entities) who purchased, acquired, sold, held, traded, or otherwise had any interest in BBSW-Based Derivatives during the period January 1, 2003 through August 16, 2016. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government.

3. Based on the record, the Court reconfirms that the applicable provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied for purposes only of the Settlement.

4. In so holding, the Court finds that, solely for purposes of settlement, the Settlement Class meets all of the applicable requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a) and (b)(3). The Court hereby finds, in the specific context of this Settlement, that: (i) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members of the Settlement Class is impracticable, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1); (ii) common questions of law and fact exist with regard to JPMorgan's alleged manipulation of BBSW

unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to the claims, allegations or conduct alleged in the Action, or which could have been alleged in the Action against the Released Parties, concerning any:

- (i) BBSW-Based Derivatives;
- (ii) BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.);
- (iii) Prime Bank Bills or Prime Bank eligible securities purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, members of the Settlement Class, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.); or
- (iv) any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to BBSW purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, members of the Settlement Class, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), including additional financial instruments or claims subsequently alleged in the Action, or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest;

including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of BBSW under any statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or of other improper conduct relating to BBSW (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or the common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former JPMorgan employees arising solely from those former employees' conduct or alleged conduct that occurred while not employed by JPMorgan; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in this Action other than JPMorgan and other than any John Doe Defendants to the extent they are current or former employees of JPMorgan (solely in their capacity as employees of JPMorgan); or (iii) any claims against any Defendant not affiliated with JPMorgan who may be subsequently added in this Action.

and the prices of BBSW-Based Derivatives, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2); (iii) the Representative Plaintiffs' claims in this litigation are typical of those of the members of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3); and (iv) the Representative Plaintiffs' interests do not conflict with, and are co-extensive with, those of absent members of the Settlement Class and Lowey Dannenberg, P.C. and Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson LLP ("Class Counsel") have adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4). The Court also finds that common issues of fact and law predominate over any questions affecting only individual members and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3).

5. Representative Plaintiffs are hereby approved to serve as representatives of such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement.

6. Lowey Dannenberg, P.C. and Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson LLP are appointed Class Counsel to the Settlement Class for the purposes of the Settlement.

7. In the Action only and solely for purposes of the Settlement, this Court: (i) has personal jurisdiction over Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan, and all members of the Settlement Class, and (ii) subject matter jurisdiction over the Action to approve the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits attached.

8. The Court finds that the mailed notice, publication notice, website, and Class Notice plan implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and approved by the Court in the Order dated _____, 20__ : (a) constituted the best practicable notice; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, of their right to exclude themselves from or object to the proposed Settlement, of their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing, of the Distribution Plan, and of Class

Counsel's application for the Attorneys' Fees Award and any Incentive Award, and for reimbursement of expenses associated with the Action; (c) provided a full and fair opportunity to all members of the Settlement Class to be heard with respect to the foregoing matters; and (d) met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Due Process, and any other applicable rules or law. Based upon JPMorgan's submission to the Court dated _____, 20__ the Court further finds that JPMorgan has complied with the obligations imposed on it under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

9. The Court finds that ___ members of the Settlement Class have validly requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class as it relates to the Settlement. Those excluded members of the Settlement Class are identified at ECF No. ___ and in Exhibit 1 hereto.

10. The Court finds that ___ objections to the proposed Settlement have been submitted. Notwithstanding the [lack of] objections, the Court has independently reviewed and considered all relevant factors and has conducted an independent examination into the propriety of the proposed Settlement. [The Court finds all objections are without merit and they are hereby overruled.]

11. It is hereby determined that all members of the Settlement Class are bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order regardless of whether such members of the Settlement Class execute and deliver a Proof of Claim and Release.

12. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finally approves the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, including the Representative Plaintiffs. In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered the factors set forth in *City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.*, 495 F.2d 448, 463 (2d Cir. 1974), *abrogated on other grounds by Goldberger v. Integrated Res., Inc.*, 209 F.3d 43 (2d Cir.

2000). This Court further finds that the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Parties, and that Class Counsel and the Representative Plaintiffs adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purpose of entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the relief provided by the Settlement is adequate and members of the Settlement Class are treated equitably. Accordingly, the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved in all respects. The Parties are hereby directed to carry out the Settlement Agreement in accordance with all of its terms and provisions, including the termination provisions.

13. Notwithstanding the entry of this Final Approval Order, if the Settlement Agreement is validly terminated by the Representative Plaintiffs or JPMorgan, is disapproved in whole or in part by the Court, any appellate court, or any other court of review, or does not become final in accordance with its terms, then the provisions of this Final Approval Order shall be null and void with respect to the Settlement; the Representative Plaintiffs' and members of the Settlement Class's claims shall be reinstated; JPMorgan's defenses shall be reinstated; the certification of the Settlement Class and final approval of the proposed Settlement, and all actions associated with it, including but not limited to any requests for exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid, shall be vacated and be of no force and effect; the Settlement Agreement, including its exhibits, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it and the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement, shall be without prejudice to the rights of any Party, and of no force or effect; and the Parties shall be returned to their respective positions before the Settlement Agreement was signed. Notwithstanding the language in this section, any provision(s) in the Settlement Agreement that

the Parties have agreed shall survive their termination shall continue to have the same force and effect intended by the Parties.

14. The Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement has been established as a trust and as a fiduciary account (the “Settlement Fiduciary Account”). The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fiduciary Account under the Settlement Agreement as qualified settlement funds pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. Without affecting the finality of the Final Approval Order for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves exclusive jurisdiction over the implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement contemplated thereby and over the enforcement of this Final Approval Order. The Court also retains exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes that arise out of or relate to the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement, or the Settlement Fund (except for such disputes and controversies as are subject to Section 37 of the Settlement Agreement, which disputes and controversies shall be governed by the respective terms of such section), to consider or approve administration costs and fees, including but not limited to fees and expenses incurred to administer the Settlement after the entry of the Final Approval Order, and to consider or approve the amounts of distributions to members of the Settlement Class. In addition, without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order, the Representative Plaintiffs, JPMorgan, and the Settlement Class hereby irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Final Approval Order or the Settlement Agreement. Any disputes involving the Representative Plaintiffs, JPMorgan, or members of the Settlement Class concerning the implementation of the Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the Court.

16. Each member of the Settlement Class must execute a release and covenant not to sue, in conformity with the Settlement Agreement, as incorporated into the Proof of Claim and Release form, in order to receive his, her, or its share, if any, of the Net Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court hereby confirms the appointment of A.B. Data, Ltd. as Settlement Administrator, and directs that the Settlement Administrator shall ensure that each Proof of Claim and Release form provided to members of the Settlement Class contains a copy of such release and covenant not to sue. However, claims of members of the Settlement Class shall be released pursuant to Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement regardless of whether the member of the Settlement Class executes a release and covenant not to sue pursuant to this paragraph 16.

17. The Court declares that the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order shall be binding on, and shall have *res judicata* and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings against the Released Parties involving the Released Claims that are maintained by or on behalf of any Releasing Party regardless of whether the Releasing Party previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation or other proceedings involving the Released Claims, and even if such Releasing Party never received actual notice of the Action or the proposed Settlement.

18. The Court hereby approves the release and covenant not to sue set forth in Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement and directs dismissal of the Action as against JPMorgan (but not any other Defendant) fully, finally, and with prejudice, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Judgment to be entered concurrently herewith.

19. The Court permanently bars and enjoins the Releasing Parties and all Settling Class Members from: (a) filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any other lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other

proceeding in any jurisdiction against JPMorgan or any Released Parties based on the Released Claims; (b) filing, commencing, or prosecuting a lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding as a class action on behalf of any members of the Settlement Class (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations or seeking class certification in a pending action), against JPMorgan or any Released Parties based on the Released Claims; (c) organizing members of the Settlement Class into a separate group, class, or subclass for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action any lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or seeking class certification in a pending action) against JPMorgan or any Released Parties based on the Released Claims; or (d) assisting any third party in the prosecution of any Released Claims against any Released Parties.

20. The Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by any Person against JPMorgan or any Released Parties for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Action by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise. To the extent permitted by law, the Court permanently bars and enjoins claims against JPMorgan and any Released Parties for contribution or indemnification (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Action by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise by (a) any of the other Defendants currently named in the Action; (b) any other Person formerly named as a party in the Action; or (c) any other Person subsequently added or joined as a party in the Action. Should any court determine that any Defendant is or was legally entitled to any kind of set-off, apportionment, contribution, or indemnification from JPMorgan or any Released Parties arising out of or related to Released Claims, any money judgment subsequently obtained by the Releasing Parties against any Defendant shall be reduced to an amount such that, upon paying the entire amount, the Defendant

would have no claim for set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against JPMorgan or any Released Parties.

21. The Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by JPMorgan or any Released Parties against any other Defendants for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Action by way of settlement, judgment or otherwise. To the extent permitted by law, the Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by JPMorgan and any Released Parties for contribution or indemnification (however denominated) from other Defendants for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Action by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise against any of the other Defendants currently named in the Action and absolves the other Defendants against any claims for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims from the Released Parties arising out of or related in any way to the Released Claims, in the manner and to the fullest extent permitted under the law of New York or any other jurisdiction that might be construed or deemed to apply for claims of contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against any of the other Defendants. For the avoidance of doubt, this paragraph shall not bar any claims, including claims for contribution or indemnification (however denominated) by JPMorgan and/or any Released Parties against any third parties other than other Defendants in this Action.

22. Neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its respective exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan in connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment are or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law by, of the validity of any claims or alleged wrongdoing by, or liability of, JPMorgan or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Action; (c) the

incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; (e) any fault or omission of JPMorgan in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal; or (f) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan in connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable, offered or received in evidence, or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, by any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action (including this Action) in which the Settlement Agreement is asserted as a defense. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the foregoing provisions do not apply to discovery or cooperation materials provided by JPMorgan to the Representative Plaintiffs or by the Representative Plaintiffs to the JPMorgan in connection with the Settlement or the Action. The Parties, without the need for approval from the Court, may adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto as (i) shall be consistent in all material respects with the Final Approval Order; and (ii) do not limit the rights of Settling Class Members.

23. The Court finds that, during the course of the Action, the Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to each other.

24. Any data or other information provided by members of the Settlement Class in connection with the submission of claims shall be held in strict confidence, available only to the

Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel, and experts or consultants acting on behalf of the Settlement Class. In no event shall a member of the Settlement Class's data or personal information be made publicly available, except as provided for herein or upon Court Order for good cause shown.

25. The Proof of Claim and Release form, Distribution Plan, and the Supplemental Agreement referenced in Section 23 of the Settlement Agreement, are each approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

26. The word "days," as used herein, means calendar days. In the event that any date or deadline set forth herein falls on a weekend or federal or state legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be deemed moved to the first business day thereafter.

27. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of the Representative Plaintiffs as representatives of the Settlement Class, as provided herein, is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by the Representative Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court's findings in this Final Approval Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class or to appoint Class Representatives in this litigation or any challenge to the Representative Plaintiffs' capacity to litigate or to represent a putative class, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any such motion or challenge.

28. Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses (and incentive awards for the Representative Plaintiffs) shall be the subject of a separate order by the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ___ day of _____, 20__.

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD DENNIS, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL SERVICES FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT ASIAN EVENT DRIVEN FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL HORIZONS FUND, L.P., AND ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AUSTRALIA BRANCH, BNP PARIBAS, S.A., BNP PARIBAS, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS N.V., RBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED, UBS AG, UBS AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND BANKING GROUP LTD., COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA, NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK AUSTRALIA LIMITED, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, LLOYDS TSB BANK PLC, AUSTRALIA, MACQUARIE GROUP LTD., MACQUARIE BANK LTD., ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, RBC CAPITAL MARKETS LLC, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY AUSTRALIA LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, CREDIT SUISSE AG, ICAP PLC, ICAP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, TULLETT PREBON (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD., AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-50.

Defendants.

Docket No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK)

EXHIBIT C

**[PROPOSED]
FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER
OF DISMISSAL WITH
PREJUDICE OF JPMORGAN
CHASE & CO., AND JPMORGAN
CHASE BANK, N.A.**

This matter came for a duly-noticed hearing on ____, 20__ (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Representative Plaintiffs’¹ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement with JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan”) in the action captioned *Richard Dennis, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al.*, No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Action”), which was consented to by JPMorgan (together with Representative Plaintiffs, the “Parties”). The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Final Judgment hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated November 19, 2018 between Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan, the Amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated March 1, 2021, and the Second Amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated January 13, 2022 (collectively, “Settlement Agreement”), and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Action, including each claim in the Action, is hereby dismissed fully, finally, and in its entirety with prejudice on the merits as to JPMorgan (but not any other Defendant) without fees or costs.

¹ Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., and Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”). Unless otherwise noted, ECF citations are to the docket in *Richard Dennis, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al.*, No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.) and internal citations and quotation marks are omitted.

3. Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Action, including each claim in the Action, is hereby dismissed fully, finally, and in its entirety with prejudice against any John Doe Defendants to the extent they are current or former JPMorgan employees (solely in that capacity).

4. The Releasing Parties² fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged from and covenanted not to sue the Released Parties³ for any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative, or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, and damages, whenever incurred, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several),

² "Releasing Parties" means each and every Representative Plaintiff and each and every Settling Class Member on their own behalf and on behalf of their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, related entities, associates and affiliates, and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, advisors, representatives, employees, agents, principals, managers, members, trustees, participants, representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, consultants, attorneys, accountants, auditors, insurers or legal representatives in their capacity as such, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of each of the foregoing in their capacity as such, whether or not they object to the Settlement or make a claim for payment from the settlement fund. Notwithstanding that the U.S. Government is excluded from the Settlement Class, with respect to any Settling Class Member that is a government entity, Releasing Parties include any and every Settling Class Member as to which the government entity has the legal right to release such claims. As used in this provision, "affiliates" means entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Releasing Party. For the avoidance of doubt, the "Releasing Parties" include all Persons (including both natural persons and entities) entitled to bring or release claims on behalf of Settling Class Members relating to the Released Claims, including, but not limited to, the Settling Class Members' transactions, interests or positions in, or ownership of, BBSW-Based Derivatives, BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans, or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to BBSW held by Representative Plaintiffs or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.).

³ "Released Parties" means JPMorgan, its predecessors, successors and assigns, its past, present, and future direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, related entities, associates and affiliates, and each of JPMorgan's and each of the foregoing's respective current, former and future officers, directors, advisors, representatives, employees, principals, managers, members, partners, participants, agents (in their capacity as agents of JPMorgan), shareholders (in their capacity as shareholders of JPMorgan), representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, consultants, attorneys, accountants, auditors, insurers, or legal representatives, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, trustees and assigns of each of the foregoing and any John Doe Defendants named or subsequently named in this Action (to the extent they are current or former employees of any of the foregoing but solely in that capacity). As used in this provision, "affiliates" means entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, for purposes of this Settlement, "Released Parties" shall not include any named Defendants other than JPMorgan.

known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to the claims, allegations, or conduct alleged in the Action, or which could have been alleged in the Action against the Released Parties concerning any:

- a. BBSW-Based Derivatives;
- b. BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.);
- c. Prime Bank Bills or Prime Bank eligible securities purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, members of the Settlement Class, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.); or
- d. any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to BBSW purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, members of the Settlement Class, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), including additional financial instruments or claims subsequently alleged in the Action, or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest;

including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of BBSW under any statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper

conduct relating to BBSW (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law).

5. The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former JPMorgan employees arising solely from those former employees' conduct or alleged conduct that occurred while not employed by JPMorgan; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in this Action other than JPMorgan and other than any John Doe Defendants to the extent they are current or former employees of JPMorgan (solely in their capacity as employees of JPMorgan); or (iii) any claims against any Defendant not affiliated with JPMorgan who may be subsequently added in this Action.

6. Although the foregoing release is not a general release, the foregoing release constitutes a waiver by the Parties and each Settling Class Member of any and all rights and provisions under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Action), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Releasing Parties and Settling Class Members shall be deemed to acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or

believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Settlement Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making the Settlement Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

7. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Releasing Parties shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting in any forum any Released Claim against any of the Released Parties and agrees and covenants not to sue any of the Released Parties on the basis of any Released Claims or to assist any third party in prosecuting any Released Claims against any Released Party.

8. The Court, finding no just reason for delay, directs pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the judgment of dismissal as to JPMorgan shall be final and entered forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ___ day of _____, 20__.

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge